# Taking Technology to Task: The Skill Content of Technological Change in Early Twentieth Century U.S.

Rowena Gray

January 2012

Rowena Gray ()

Taking Technology to Task

January 2012 1 / 27





The main contributions of the paper are:

• new dataset describing workplace tasks to give a more precise definition of skill in the historical context

- new dataset describing workplace tasks to give a more precise definition of skill in the historical context
- new finding on the nature of pre-WWII technological change:

- new dataset describing workplace tasks to give a more precise definition of skill in the historical context
- new finding on the nature of pre-WWII technological change:
  - Electrification led to a hollowing out of the skill distribution- workers at the poles benefited at the expense of those in the middle

- new dataset describing workplace tasks to give a more precise definition of skill in the historical context
- new finding on the nature of pre-WWII technological change:
  - Electrification led to a hollowing out of the skill distribution- workers at the poles benefited at the expense of those in the middle
- use of instrumental variables strategies to deal with issue of endogeneity of electricity

## Motivation

Rowena Gray ()

Image: Image:

Address the debate regarding the relationship between technological change and trends in inequality

much work has been done on this using modern data on wages and computerization

Address the debate regarding the relationship between technological change and trends in inequality

much work has been done on this using modern data on wages and computerization

Address the debate regarding the relationship between technological change and trends in inequality

much work has been done on this using modern data on wages and computerization

Goldin and Katz (2008) summarize their work on this topic through U.S. history

• they argued that technological change became skill-biased around 1890 and that the decline in wage differentials to 1950 was driven by educational attainment rather than changing technologies

## The Switch to Electricity









Rowena Gray ()

Taking Technology to Task

January 2012 9 / 27





**Historical Predictions** 

э

A B A B A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A
A

#### **Historical Predictions**

Direct Effects

Image: A math a math

Direct Effects Fixed capital reduced- maintenance workers displaced Manual workers replaced by overhead cranes

Direct Effects Fixed capital reduced- maintenance workers displaced Manual workers replaced by overhead cranes

Indirect Effects

Direct Effects Fixed capital reduced- maintenance workers displaced Manual workers replaced by overhead cranes

Indirect Effects Factory layout "electrification and plant reorganization often went hand-in-hand" (Devine, 1985)

Direct Effects Fixed capital reduced- maintenance workers displaced Manual workers replaced by overhead cranes

Indirect Effects Factory layout "electrification and plant reorganization often went hand-in-hand" (Devine, 1985) Speed & scale of production

Direct Effects Fixed capital reduced- maintenance workers displaced Manual workers replaced by overhead cranes

Indirect Effects Factory layout "electrification and plant reorganization often went hand-in-hand" (Devine, 1985) Speed & scale of production Assembly line; mechanization

Direct Effects Fixed capital reduced- maintenance workers displaced Manual workers replaced by overhead cranes

Indirect Effects Factory layout "electrification and plant reorganization often went hand-in-hand" (Devine, 1985) Speed & scale of production Assembly line; mechanization

Overall, it is an empirical question to identify the effect of electricity on relative demand for different types of labor



・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



• U.S. Population Censuses, 1880-1940

Image: A mathematical states of the state

- ∢ ≣ →



- U.S. Population Censuses, 1880-1940
- U.S. Censuses of Manufactures, 1880-1940

Image: Image:

э



- U.S. Population Censuses, 1880-1940
- U.S. Censuses of Manufactures, 1880-1940
- Dictionary of Occupational Titles, 1949

## ESTIMATES OF WORKER TRAIT REQUIREMENTS

## FOR 4,000 JOBS

#### as defined in the

## DICTIONARY OF OCCUPATIONAL TITLES

(an alphabetical index)



#### UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Bureau of Employment Security

Prepared by

Rowena Gray ()

Taking Technology to Task

January 2012 14 / 2

## Data

-				Train. 7	Time	Aptitudes							1												
Line No.	D. O. T. Job Title	Vol. II Code	Part IV Code			7.52			-	1					annon	-			_		I	interest			-
22	Deckor Mun.	6-31,000	0-X7 601	GED	SVP	GVN	srq	KFM	EC	1	2 3		5	6	7	8 9	0	XY	1	2 3	4	5 0	7	8 0	-
		0 50 05	6-Y2 601	3	2	445	445	443	55	13	2	3							1			-	-	-	-
1	Deckhand II	4-65. 910	4-X6. 506	4	6	344	434	323	53		2							v	1		8				
3	Decorator	6-24. 234	6-X4. 352	3	3	445	435	333	54		2							3	1		2			8	63
4	Decorator II	7-16. 971	4-X6. 346	3	4	344	424	22	3 53		2							3							0
5	Decorator, Hand	7-16. 970	4-X6. 346	3	3	345	335	32	3 53		2							X						8	9
	Darberoun Man	(P 05 91	1		1	500																			8
6	Decorator Helper	8-06 01	4-X6.671	2	2	444	44	4 44	4 54	4	2	3							1		3				2
	D. L. Juston	4-55, 030	4-X6. 697	5	6	233	3 23	4 3	3 5	4			4						Y I	1					9
7	Delivery Boy	1-23. 15	6-X6. 10	3	2	33	4 44	4 4	14 5	5		3		5						2	3				1
8	Delivery Boy	7-35. 300	6-X6. 10	4	2	33	3 44	4 4	14 3	15	3	3		5						2	3				
10	Delivery Clerk	1-34.01	1-X2.0	4	3	33	3 44	3 4	44 2	00	1								X I	1 2					8
10	Distry Turber	9.9.9%	0-X7 01-			00	0 4		32	55				5	7				8	2					ę
11	Demonstrator	1-56.01	1-X0. 0	0 5	6	22	3 3	24	23	53	2	2							Y						5
12	Dental Ceramist	0-50.06	4-A0. 34	0 5	6	25	23 3	24	222	53				5					Y					7	
13	Dental Hygienist	0-50.07	4-X6 34	8 5	e	3	33 3	24	223	43	1	2							Y						
14	Dental Mechanic, Plate	0-50.00	4-X6 34	8 5	(	3 3	33 2	24	223	53	1								1						
15	Dental Technician	0-50.00	1 120. 01	2 8		1 3				12									31	2	3				
22		1-18 74	1-X5. 0	5		7 2	33	43	444	50			4	5				0				4		7	12
16	Depot Master	0-26 10	0-X7. 0	2 7		8 1	12 :	323	222	55			4	5					3.	1	2				1
17	Dermatologist	5-73.760	3-X8. 7	0 5		8 3	33	334	222	35		2 8							Y	1	2	3			
18	Derrick-Boat Captain	5-73. 750	) 4-X2. 4	93 4		6 3	44	234	332	35				5					1	1	-				
19	Derrick-Boat Operator	5-20, 824	5 4-X2. 4	94 5	5	7 1	000	001	111										Y	15					1
20	Derrickman 1	8-25, 32	a 12783	18 3			244	434	433	45		2								1	2	3			
12	Contractor officiation	7-10. 21	3 6-X4. 4	49 4		2	333	444	444	55		2						0		Y					
21	Design Inserter	1-01. 53	1-X5. 0		4	7	222	123	333	55	1						8 9			31	2			6	
22	Desk Clerk	0-48.01	0-X7. 7	2	5	3	333	444	444	55					5		8			4	-				
23	Detailer	_ 2-65. 01	0-X0. 2	5	4	2	334	444	444	40										1				5 6	
24	Detective 1	2-65. 02	0-X6.	60		3		888		55	1			4				0		Y					
25	Detective II	- 8-58 01	o ve	95	6	8	223	433	444	55											1				
12	Chief	_ 2-66. 02	0-A0.	320	5	7	232	234	443	55		2	3							L	1	-	1		
26	Detective Chief	- 5-17. 21	10 4-A0.	494	3	2	334	044	110	0		2	3							v	1				12
27	Developer	7-75. 4	10 4-14.		0	2	445	445	444	1 30		0								I	-				
28	Diamond-Driller Heiper	9-22. 10	6-X2.	494	*	100	244	334	228	3 51	5	2				-		9						5	

Rowena Gray ()

(日)

**Task Variables** 

-

Image: A mathematical states of the state

#### **Task Variables**

Manual- Strength

Image: A math a math
### **Task Variables**

- Manual- Strength
- Oexterity- Finger & Manual Dexterity, Motor & Eye-hand-foot Coordination

### **Task Variables**

- Manual- Strength
- Oexterity- Finger & Manual Dexterity, Motor & Eye-hand-foot Coordination
- Olerical Clerical & Numerical Accuracy

### **Task Variables**

- Manual– Strength
- Oexterity- Finger & Manual Dexterity, Motor & Eye-hand-foot Coordination
- Olerical Clerical & Numerical Accuracy
- Managerial- Average of Clerical & Dealing with People & Direction, Control & Planning

## Task Variable Construction

DOT measures by occupation (1 to 5 scale)

- matched to census manufacturing occupations
- created manual, dexterity, clerical & managerial measures by occupation
- ran task "cleaning" regressions to eradicate pure demographic effects
- normalized each task measure to a (0,1) scale as per the 1880 distribution of occupations
- lastly constructed the state-year averages for the final LHS variables

# **Empirical Specification**

#### **Baseline Specification**

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 \textit{Elecrate}_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 \textit{Elecrate}_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

lpha and eta are year (t) and state (s) fixed effects

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 \textit{Elecrate}_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are year (t) and state (s) fixed effects

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 \textit{Elecrate}_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are year (t) and state (s) fixed effects

 $R_{st}$  represents the task ratios of interest:

• dexterity/manual

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 \textit{Elecrate}_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

lpha and eta are year (t) and state (s) fixed effects

- dexterity/manual
- clerical/dexterity

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 Elecrate_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are year (t) and state (s) fixed effects

- dexterity/manual
- clerical/dexterity
- clerical/dexterity+manual

$$\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 \textit{Elecrate}_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$$

lpha and eta are year (t) and state (s) fixed effects

- dexterity/manual
- clerical/dexterity
- clerical/dexterity+manual
- managerial/dexterity+manual

 $\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 E lecrate_{st} + \tau_2 Caplab_{st} + \tau_3 FBShare_{st} + \tau_4 E duc Proxy_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$ 

 $\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 E lecrate_{st} + \tau_2 Caplab_{st} + \tau_3 FBShare_{st} + \tau_4 E duc Proxy_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$ 

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Caplab}}$  is the log of capital per worker, deflated by a BLS wholesale price index

 $\ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 E lecrate_{st} + \tau_2 Caplab_{st} + \tau_3 FBShare_{st} + \tau_4 E duc Proxy_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$ 

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Caplab}}$  is the log of capital per worker, deflated by a BLS wholesale price index

FBShare is the proportion of the population that was born abroad

 $ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 Elecrate_{st} + \tau_2 Caplab_{st} + \tau_3 FBShare_{st} + \tau_4 EducProxy_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$ 

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Caplab}}$  is the log of capital per worker, deflated by a BLS wholesale price index

**FBShare** is the proportion of the population that was born abroad **EducProxy** is a measure of educational attainment across states, constructed using census data on enrollments by age group

 $ln R_{st} = c + \tau_1 Elecrate_{st} + \tau_2 Caplab_{st} + \tau_3 FBShare_{st} + \tau_4 EducProxy_{st} + \alpha_t + \beta_s + \epsilon_{st}$ 

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Caplab}}$  is the log of capital per worker, deflated by a BLS wholesale price index

**FBShare** is the proportion of the population that was born abroad **EducProxy** is a measure of educational attainment across states, constructed using census data on enrollments by age group

For this regression, the left-hand side includes data for native-born Americans only

	Dexterity/ Manual	Clerical/ Dexterity	Clerical/ Dexterity+Manual	Managerial/ Dexterity+Manual
Elecrate	17*** (.05)	.31*** (.08)	.22*** (.08)	.26*** (.11)
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup>	.83	.86	.87	.90
Observations	297	297	297	297

э

イロン イヨン イヨン イ

æ

# Extended OLS

	Dexterity/	Clerical/	Clerical/	Managerial/
	Manual	Dexterity	Dexterity+Manual	Dexterity+Manual
Elecrate	15**	.32***	.25***	.30***
	(.07)	(.10)	(.09)	(.09)
FBShare	01	.46***	.45***	.10
	(.14)	(.16)	(.16)	(.18)
Caplab	.03	09*	07*	05
	(.05)	(.05)	(.04)	(.05)
EducProxy	001	003	003	01
	(.01)	(.01)	(.007)	(.01)
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
R <sup>2</sup>	.69	.90	.92	.95
Observations	198	198	198	198

Rowena Gray ()

January 2012 21

1 / 27

# **OLS Summary**

∃ →

(日) (日) (日) (日)

Results suggest that, for the majority of manufacturing workers who worked on the factory floor, technological change had a strong unskill-bias

Results suggest that, for the majority of manufacturing workers who worked on the factory floor, technological change had a strong unskill-bias

The effects hold when restricted to a sample of non-migrants (& for migrants, but with smaller coefficients)

Results suggest that, for the majority of manufacturing workers who worked on the factory floor, technological change had a strong unskill-bias

The effects hold when restricted to a sample of non-migrants (& for migrants, but with smaller coefficients)

Female labor force tasks were not significantly associated with electricity but it did account for about half of the increase in female labor force participation over the period

## Endogeneity

The historical literature suggests that the shifts in relative labor demand resulting from electricity were largely unanticipated:

## Endogeneity

The historical literature suggests that the shifts in relative labor demand resulting from electricity were largely unanticipated:

"There were many factories which introduced electric power because we engaged to save from 20 to 60 percent of their coal bills...those who first introduced electric power on this basis found that they were making other savings than those that had been promised, which might be called indirect savings"

Crocker-Wheeler Electric Company, 1901

But, richer states or states with a particular skill concentration may have adopted electricity earlier

But, richer states or states with a particular skill concentration may have adopted electricity earlier Both the I.V. strategies use variations in geography as the base- motivated by the fact that hydro-electric power had a lower marginal cost than thermal power generation- here, I use stream gradient

But, richer states or states with a particular skill concentration may have adopted electricity earlier Both the I.V. strategies use variations in geography as the base- motivated by the fact that hydro-electric power had a lower marginal cost than thermal power generation- here, I use stream gradient

1 source of time variation comes from demeaned decadal rainfall, 1910-40

But, richer states or states with a particular skill concentration may have adopted electricity earlier Both the I.V. strategies use variations in geography as the base- motivated by the fact that hydro-electric power had a lower marginal cost than

thermal power generation- here, I use stream gradient

1 source of time variation comes from demeaned decadal rainfall, 1910-40 Alternatively, time variation comes from regulations on electric utilities

But, richer states or states with a particular skill concentration may have adopted electricity earlier Both the I.V. strategies use variations in geography as the base- motivated

by the fact that hydro-electric power had a lower marginal cost than thermal power generation- here, I use stream gradient

1 source of time variation comes from demeaned decadal rainfall, 1910-40 Alternatively, time variation comes from regulations on electric utilities

States adopted state-level regulation of the electric industry at different times from 1907 onwards

But, richer states or states with a particular skill concentration may have adopted electricity earlier

Both the I.V. strategies use variations in geography as the base- motivated by the fact that hydro-electric power had a lower marginal cost than thermal power generation- here, I use stream gradient

1 source of time variation comes from demeaned decadal rainfall, 1910-40 Alternatively, time variation comes from regulations on electric utilities

States adopted state-level regulation of the electric industry at different times from 1907 onwards Regulation has been shown to have lowered prices and increased supply of electricity by making it easier for electric companies to grow and expand to new areas of business

# IV Results

	Dexterity/ Manual	Clerical/ Dexterity	Clerical/ Dexterity+Manual	Managerial/ Dexterity+Manual
Elecrate	43* (.26)	.43 (.33)	.22 (.29)	05 (.32)
FBShare	02 (.10)	.57*** (.11)	.55*** (.11)	.22* (.13)
Capperworker	.01 (.04)	14*** (.04)	13** (.04)	15*** (.11)
Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
State FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
First-stage F- stat	90	90	90	90
R <sup>2</sup>	.68	.91	.93	.95
Observations	194	194	194	194

э.

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## **Robustness Checks**

A variety of robustness checks were conducted on the data and the results were all consistent with the previous findings Instrumented for FBShare using the Card (2001) shift-share instrument State-specific time trends Weighted by employment augmented with hours worked Dropped outliers in electricity adoption and in economic activity

# Conclusions

 The evidence suggests that technological change pre-World War II had a "hollowing out" effect on the skill distribution of U.S. labor:

# Conclusions

- The evidence suggests that technological change pre-World War II had a "hollowing out" effect on the skill distribution of U.S. labor:
  - white collar tasks saw the largest increases, raw manual skills were not eroded but saw little overall change, and dexterity tasks lost the most in terms of relative demand

# Conclusions

- The evidence suggests that technological change pre-World War II had a "hollowing out" effect on the skill distribution of U.S. labor:
  - white collar tasks saw the largest increases, raw manual skills were not eroded but saw little overall change, and dexterity tasks lost the most in terms of relative demand
- The results are robust to using an instrument for electrification that exploits differences across states in their timing of adoption of state level regulation of electric utilities or an instrument that uses exogenous differences in geography across states
## Conclusions

- The evidence suggests that technological change pre-World War II had a "hollowing out" effect on the skill distribution of U.S. labor:
  - white collar tasks saw the largest increases, raw manual skills were not eroded but saw little overall change, and dexterity tasks lost the most in terms of relative demand
- The results are robust to using an instrument for electrification that exploits differences across states in their timing of adoption of state level regulation of electric utilities or an instrument that uses exogenous differences in geography across states
- Results suggest that technological change has had a very consistent effect on relative demand for labor over a century and a half

## Conclusions

- The evidence suggests that technological change pre-World War II had a "hollowing out" effect on the skill distribution of U.S. labor:
  - white collar tasks saw the largest increases, raw manual skills were not eroded but saw little overall change, and dexterity tasks lost the most in terms of relative demand
- The results are robust to using an instrument for electrification that exploits differences across states in their timing of adoption of state level regulation of electric utilities or an instrument that uses exogenous differences in geography across states
- Results suggest that technological change has had a very consistent effect on relative demand for labor over a century and a half
- Further investigation of the mechanisms through which the task composition of the labor force changed over time is needed- what happened to the displaced workers who had previously done jobs that were relatively intensive in dexterity tasks?